

Orders of Finite Reductive Monoids ^{*}

Zhuo Li Zhenheng Li¹ You'an Cao

Abstract

We show four formulas for calculating the orders of finite reductive monoids with zero. As applications, these formulas are then used to calculate the orders of finite reductive monoids induced from the F_q -split $\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible monoids $\overline{K^*\rho(G_0)}$ where G_0 is a simple algebraic group over the algebraic closure of F_q , and $\rho : G_0 \rightarrow GL(V)$ is the irreducible representation associated with any dominant weight. Finally, we give an explicit formula for the orders of finite symplectic monoids associated with the last fundamental dominant weight of type C_l ; the connections to H -polynomials and Betti numbers are shown.

Keywords: Finite Reductive Monoid, Type Map, H -Polynomial, Symplectic Monoid.

AMS Classifications: 20G40; 20G15; 20M99

1. Introduction

Renner [18] extended the endomorphisms induced by the Frobenius maps and the graph automorphisms of the Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams to reductive monoids. The monoids M consisting of fixed points of the extended endomorphisms are called *finite reductive monoids*. We are interested in formulas for calculating the orders of these finite monoids.

Renner [19] established an enumerative theory of finite reductive monoids using a length function on Renner monoids. Recently, in [2, 21, 22, 23] Can and Renner gave a systematic description of H -polynomials of reductive monoids, whose whole point is to investigate the orders of finite reductive monoids.

Yan [26] obtained a general formula for the orders of monoids of Lie type based on how the monoids are constructed. He then used computers to calculate the order of $M_n(F_q)$ as an example. However, his formula can't be used to compute the orders of finite reductive monoids in general because, with our notation, a key factor

$$K(e) = \{g \in G \mid ge = e = eg\}$$

in the formula was unknown except for $M_n(F_q)$, where e is any element of the cross section lattice of M . It turns out that $K(e)$ is critical in our work. A precise characterization

^{*}Project 10471116 supported by NSFC

¹Partially supported from 2006 summer stipend of University of South Carolina Aiken, USA

of $K(e)$ is given in Theorem 2.2 in terms of the type map (see Definition 2.2) of M and certain root subgroups of the unit group of M .

We show that, in Theorem 2.1, if $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ are reductive monoids and F_q -split in the sense of [3] (see Section 34 of [3]), and there is a finite dominant morphism, defined over F_q , from $\mathbf{M}$ to $\mathbf{N}$, then $|M| = |N|$, where M and N are the finite reductive monoids consisting of F_q -rational points of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$, respectively.

We then obtain four formulas in Section 3 for calculating the orders of the finite reductive monoids which are fixed points of reductive monoids with zero under the standard Frobenius map. The first formula in Theorem 3.1 is based on the action of $G \times G$ on M defined by $(g, h)m = gmh^{-1}$, where $m \in M$ and $(g, h) \in G \times G$. The structure of the isotropy group $(G \times G)_e$ and its cardinality are described in Proposition 3.1, where $e \in \Lambda$. The second formula given in Theorem 3.2 is a refinement of the first by using the structure of $K(e)$. A more theoretically useful formula, the third, is shown in Theorem 3.3 in terms of polynomials $\sum_{w \in D(e)} q^{l(w)}$ and $\sum_{w \in D_*(e)} q^{l(w)}$, where $D(e)$ and $D_*(e)$ are appropriate subsets of the Weyl group. The fourth formula, which is more practically useful, is characterized in Theorem 3.4 using the degrees of certain basic polynomial invariants of the parabolic subgroups of W .

The above formulas compute the orders of finite reductive monoids with zero when their type maps are known. So far, we know explicitly the type maps of the $\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible monoids ([13]), the 2-reducible monoids ([16]), and the multilined closure monoids ([6, 7]). In Theorem 4.1 we determine the orders of the finite reductive monoids induced from the F_q -split $\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible monoids $\overline{K^*\rho(G_0)}$ where G_0 is a simple algebraic group over the algebraic closure of F_q , and $\rho : G_0 \rightarrow GL(V)$ is the irreducible representation associated with any dominant weight.

Finally, we explicitly compute in Theorem 4.2 the orders of finite symplectic monoids induced from $\overline{K^*\rho(G_0)}$ associated with the last fundamental dominant weight of type C_l , and then show connections with H -polynomials in Proposition 4.2 and Betti numbers in Corollary 4.1.

2. Finite Reductive Monoids

An *algebraic monoid* is an affine variety defined over an algebraically closed field K together with an associative morphism and an identity. The unit group of an algebraic monoid is an algebraic group. An algebraic monoid is *irreducible* if it is irreducible as a variety. An irreducible monoid is called *reductive* if its unit group is a reductive group. A reductive monoid with zero is *$\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible* if its cross section lattice has a unique minimal

non-zero idempotent. A systematic description of the theory of reductive monoids can be found in Putcha [8], Renner [16], and Solomon [25].

We now explain the construction of finite reductive monoids from reductive monoids as found in [18]. From now on, let F_q be a finite field with q elements and K the algebraic closure of F_q . Suppose that $\mathbf{M}$, with 0 and unit group $\mathbf{G}$, is a reductive monoid over K . Let $\sigma : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be an endomorphism such that σ is a finite morphism and $G = \{x \in \mathbf{G} \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$ is a finite group.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{M}$ be reductive with σ as above. By a finite reductive monoid M , we mean*

$$M = \{x \in \mathbf{M} \mid \sigma(x) = x\}.$$

If $\mathbf{M} = M_n(K)$ consisting of all $n \times n$ matrices over K and σ be the Frobenius map from $M_n(K)$ to $M_n(K)$ defined by: $[a_{ij}] \mapsto [a_{ij}^q]$, then $M = M_n(F_q)$.

We next consider relationships among the orders of finite reductive monoids induced from reductive monoids $\mathbf{M}$ defined over F_q . We say that $\mathbf{M}$ is F_q -split if its unit group $\mathbf{G}$ is F_q -split in the sense of [3]. Let M be the monoid of F_q -rational points of $\mathbf{M}$ (c.f. Section 34 of [3]). It follows from Section 4 of [20] that there exists an F_q -automorphism $\sigma : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ of algebraic monoids such that $M = \{x \in \mathbf{M} \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$. Thus, M is a finite reductive monoid. More properties about the finite reductive monoids can be found in [14, 18, 19]. Renner pointed out the following theorem to the authors.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ be F_q -split reductive monoids, and let M and N be two finite monoids of F_q -rational points of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$, respectively. If $h : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is a finite dominant morphism defined over F_q , then $|M| = |N|$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a Borel subgroup of the unit group of $\mathbf{M}$. Then $h(\mathbf{B})$ is a Borel subgroup of $\mathbf{N}$. Note that h induces an isomorphism on the Renner monoids of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$. Denote by $\mathbf{R}$ the Renner monoid of $\mathbf{M}$. If $\mathbf{M}$ is the disjoint union of $\mathbf{B}r\mathbf{B}$ for $r \in \mathbf{R}$, then $\mathbf{N}$ is the disjoint union of $h(\mathbf{B})h(r)h(\mathbf{B})$ for $r \in \mathbf{R}$. Since $\mathbf{B}$ and $h(\mathbf{B})$ are connected solvable groups, for $r \neq 0$, $\mathbf{B}r\mathbf{B}$ is isomorphic, as a variety, to $K^a \times (K^*)^b$ for integers $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$. But so is $h(\mathbf{B})h(r)h(\mathbf{B})$ because h is finite and dominant. Also remember that everything is F_q -split here. So $|M|$ is a sum of the $F_q^a \times (F_q^*)^b = q^a(q-1)^b$. The same sum works for $|N|$. $\square$

If $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is the normalization of $\mathbf{M}$ then $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is a reductive monoid. Let $\tilde{M}$ be the set of F_q -rational points of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$. The above theorem shows that $|\tilde{M}| = |M|$.

2.1. Structure of $K(e)$ for Finite Reductive Monoids

Let G be the group of units of a finite reductive monoid M . Suppose that B and B^- are opposite Borel subgroups and $T \subseteq B$ a maximal torus. Denote by $W = N_G(T)/T$ the Weyl group. For $X \subseteq M$, we define $E(X) = \{e \in X \mid e^2 = e\}$ to be the set of idempotents in X . For $e \in E(M)$, let $P(e) = \{g \in G \mid ge = ege\}$ and $P^-(e) = \{g \in G \mid eg = ege\}$. Then $\Lambda = \{e \in E(M) \mid B \subseteq P(e), B^- \subseteq P^-(e)\}$ is called a cross section lattice of M . We have a decomposition of M into $G \times G$ orbits

$$M = \bigsqcup_{e \in \Lambda} GeG.$$

The monoid $R = \langle W, \Lambda \rangle$ is referred to as the Renner monoid of M (see [9, 10, 11, 19]). Then M has a Bruhat-Renner decomposition

$$M = \bigsqcup_{x \in R} BxB.$$

The following results are well known for finite reductive monoids with zero [14, 18, 19].

Proposition 2.1. (i) For $e \in E(M)$, the above $P(e)$ and $P^-(e)$ are opposite parabolic subgroups of G , and $U(e)e = \{e\} = eU^-(e)$, where $U(e)$ and $U^-(e)$ are the unipotent radicals of $P(e)$ and $P^-(e)$, respectively.

(ii) For any $e, f \in E(M)$, $eM = fM$ or $Me = Mf$ implies that $e = x^{-1}fx$ for some $x \in G$.

Putcha [10] calls an abstract monoid M with unit group G a *monoid of Lie type* if $M = E(M)G$ satisfies (i) and (ii) of the above theorem and G is a finite group of Lie type. It follows from Section 4 of [18] that finite reductive monoids are monoids of Lie type; see also [9, 10].

Definition 2.2. Let M be a finite reductive monoid and Δ be the set of simple roots. The type map $\lambda : \Lambda \rightarrow 2^\Delta$ is given by

$$\lambda(e) = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid s_\alpha e = es_\alpha\}.$$

Let $\lambda^*(e) = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid s_\alpha e = es_\alpha \neq e\}$ and $\lambda_*(e) = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid s_\alpha e = es_\alpha = e\}$. Then we have the following parabolic subgroups of the Weyl group W .

$$W(e) = W_{\lambda(e)} = \{w \in W \mid we = ew\}.$$

$$W_*(e) = W_{\lambda_*(e)} = \{w \in W \mid we = ew = e\}.$$

$$W^*(e) = W_{\lambda^*(e)}.$$

$$W(e) = W_*(e) \times W^*(e).$$

For $e \in \Lambda$, denote by $\Phi_{\lambda_*(e)} \subseteq \Phi$ the root subsystem with a base $\lambda_*(e) \subseteq \Delta$. Let U_α be the root subgroup determined by $\alpha \in \Phi_{\lambda_*(e)}$. Construct a subgroup of G as follows

$$G_{\lambda_*(e)} = \langle T(e), U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_{\lambda_*(e)} \rangle$$

where $T(e) = \{t \in T \mid te = e = et\}$. Thus $G_{\lambda_*(e)}$ is determined by $T(e)$, the type map of M and certain root subgroups of G .

The subgroup of M below plays an important role in our work

$$K(e) = \{g \in G \mid ge = e = eg\}.$$

Putcha [10] gave a precise description of $K(e)$ for the universally maximal monoid in the context of monoids of Lie type. Then Putcha and Renner gave another characterization of $K(e)$ in [15].

We describe $K(e)$ in a different way by claiming that $K(e) = G_{\lambda_*(e)}$. If $e = 1$, then $K(e) = G_{\lambda_*(e)} = \{1\}$. A simple calculation yields that, if $e = 0$, then $K(e) = G_{\lambda_*(e)} = G$. By Lemma 7.4 (a) of [16], for any $\alpha \in \lambda_*(e)$, we have $U_\alpha e = eU_\alpha = e$, for $e \in \Lambda \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Thus $G_{\lambda_*(e)} \subseteq K(e)$, for all $e \in \Lambda$. To prove $K(e) = G_{\lambda_*(e)}$ in general, we need the concept of the canonical (or normal) form of an element in G , which can be found in Section 28.4 of [3] or Section 8.4 of [1]. Let G, B, T , and W be as above, and denote by U the unipotent radical of B .

Lemma 2.1. *For an arbitrary $g \in G$, let $g = utwv$ be its canonical form, where $u, v \in U$, $t \in T$ and $w \in W$. Then $g \in K(e)$ if and only if $u, v, w, t \in K(e)$, for $e \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. It is obvious that if $u, v, w, t \in K(e)$ then $g = utwv \in K(e)$, where $e \in \Lambda$. Now, we assume $g = utwv \in K(e)$, in other words, $utwve = eutwv = e$. Clearly, $utwv \in L(e) = P(e) \cap P^-(e)$. Hence $u, t, w, v \in L(e)$. Then $we = ew$ and $ve = ev$. It follows from $utwve = e$ that $utwev = e$, that is, $BweB = BeB$. Thus $we = e = ew$, and so $w \in K(e)$ and $w \in W_{\lambda_*(e)}$. It follows easily that $utev = e$. Equivalently,

$$te = u^{-1}ev^{-1}. \tag{1}$$

To see that $t \in K(e)$, we first prove that te is an idempotent. By Theorem 8.6 of [3], we regard G as a closed subgroup of some $GL(n, F_q)$. Then we can choose T, B, U , up to conjugation, such that T consists of invertible diagonal matrices, that B is composed of invertible upper triangular matrices, and that U is made of invertible upper triangular matrices with all diagonal entries 1. The left hand side of (1) is a diagonal matrix and the right hand of (1) is upper triangular with diagonal entries 1 or 0. So te is an idempotent. In other words, $tete = te$. It follows that $ete = e$, and hence $te = e$. Therefore, $t \in K(e)$.

To show that $v \in K(e)$, note that $g = utwv$ is in its canonical form. This tells us that $v \in \Pi_{\alpha \in \Psi} U_{\alpha} \subseteq P(e)$ where $\Psi = \{\alpha \in \Phi_{\lambda(e)}^+ \mid w(\alpha) \in \Phi_{\lambda(e)}^-\}$. Notice that $w \in W_{\lambda_*(e)}$ and that $\lambda(e)$ is a disjoint union of $\lambda^*(e)$ and $\lambda_*(e)$. It follows that $\Psi \subseteq \Phi_{\lambda_*(e)}$. Hence $ve = ev = v$, which shows that $v \in K(e)$.

Finally, $ue = eu = e$, which means that $u \in K(e)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *For $e \in \Lambda$, we have $K(e) = G_{\lambda_*(e)}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show $G_{\lambda_*(e)} \supseteq K(e)$. For any $g = uwtv \in K(e)$ in its canonical form, it follows from Lemma 2.1. that $u, w, t, v \in K(e)$. It is clearly true that $w, t, v \in G_{\lambda_*(e)}$ by the proof of Lemma 2.1. We now show that $u \in G_{\lambda_*(e)}$. Let $U_e = \{x \in U \mid xe = ex = e\}$. Then U_e is a closed, T -stable subgroup of U . It follows from Proposition 28.1 of [3] that the subgroup $U_e \subseteq G_{\lambda_*(e)}$ is generated by certain root subgroups. Since $u \in U_e$, we can then assume $u \in \prod_i U_{\beta_i}$ where $U_{\beta_i}e = eU_{\beta_i} = e$ and $\beta_i \in \Phi$. By Exercise 2 of 7.7.2 in [16], we see that $\beta_i \in \Phi_{\lambda_*(e)}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

3. Orders of Finite Reductive Monoids

Define an action of $G \times G$ on M by:

$$(g, h)m = gmh^{-1},$$

where $m \in M$ and $(g, h) \in G \times G$. The structure of the isotropic group $(G \times G)_e$ for $e \in \Lambda$ plays an important role in the procedure of obtaining formulas for the orders of the finite reductive monoids. The following result describes the structure of $(G \times G)_e$ and its cardinality $|(G \times G)_e|$, where $e \in \Lambda$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $e \in \Lambda$. Then*

- (i) $(G \times G)_e = \{(lu, lkv) \in G \times G \mid u \in U(e), v \in U^-(e), l \in L(e) \text{ and } k \in K(e)\}$.
- (ii) $|(G \times G)_e| = |P(e)||U(e)||K(e)|$.

Proof. Assume that $geh^{-1} = e$ for $e \in \Lambda$ and $(g, h) \in G \times G$. Then $egeh^{-1} = ee = e = geh^{-1}$, and hence $ege = ge$, which means $g \in P(e)$. Similarly, $h \in P^-(e)$. Let $P(e) = U(e)L(e)$ and $P^-(e) = U^-(e)L(e)$ be the Levi decompositions with $L(e) = P(e) \cap P^-(e)$. We have $g = l_1u$ and $h = l_2v$ for $u \in U(e)$, $v \in U^-(e)$, and $l_1, l_2 \in L(e)$. It follows from (i) of Proposition 2.1. that

$$geh^{-1} = l_1uev^{-1}l_2^{-1} = el_1l_2^{-1} = l_1l_2^{-1}e = e,$$

and hence $l_1l_2^{-1} \in K(e)$. This proves (i). It not difficult to see that (ii) is correct by (i) and $|U(e)| = |U^-(e)|$. $\square$

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a finite reductive monoid with zero and unit group G . Then*

$$|M| = \sum_{e \in \Lambda} \frac{|G|^2}{|P(e)||K(e)||U(e)|} = \sum_{e \in \Lambda} [G : P(e)]^2 [L(e) : K(e)].$$

Proof. Since $M = \bigsqcup_{e \in \Lambda} GeG$ and $|GeG| = |G|^2 / |(G \times G)_e|$, the first equality follows from Proposition 3.1. The second equality follows from that $|P(e)| = |L(e)||U(e)|$. $\square$

Remark: For an abstract monoid of Lie type, Yan found the above formula in his Ph. D. thesis in 1996 (see also [26]) in a different way. He illustrated this formula by checking the order of $M_n(F_q)$.

The result below follows immediately from Theorems 2.2. and 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *The order of a finite reductive monoid M with zero is given by*

$$|M| = \sum_{e \in \Lambda} \frac{|G|^2}{|P(e)||G_{\lambda_*(e)}||U(e)|}.$$

We further refine the formulas in Theorems 3.1. and 3.2. Let $B = UT$ be the Levi decomposition with the Levi factor T and the unipotent radical U of B . Let $J \subseteq \Delta$ and P_J a standard parabolic subgroup of G . Define W_J to be the parabolic subgroup of W generated by reflections determined by simple roots in J . For any $w \in W$, let $l(w)$ denote the length of w .

Lemma 3.1. (i) $|G| = |U||T| \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)}$.

(ii) $|P_J| = |U||T| \sum_{w \in W_J} q^{l(w)}$, for any $J \subset \Delta$.

Proof. The idea of Section 8.6 of [1] applies here proving (i). As for (ii), the canonical form of elements in G indicates that each element of P_J has a unique expression in the form bwu , where $b \in B$, $w \in W_J$, and $u \in U_w^- = \prod_{\alpha \in \Psi} U_\alpha$ with $\Psi = \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid w(\alpha) \in \Phi^-\}$. Then

$$|P_J| = |U||T| \sum_{w \in W_J} |U_w^-|.$$

But then $|U_w^-| = q^{l(w)}$. Therefore, (ii) is proved. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *For $e \in \Lambda$, let $D(e)$ be the set of minimal length left coset representatives of $W(e)$ in W , and $D_*(e)$ the set of minimal length left coset representatives of $W_*(e)$ in W . Then*

$$|M| = \sum_{e \in \Lambda} \left([T : T(e)] q^{N^*(e)} \sum_{w \in D(e)} q^{l(w)} \sum_{w \in D_*(e)} q^{l(w)} \right),$$

where $T(e) = \{t \in T \mid te = e = et\}$ is a maximal torus of $G_{\lambda_*(e)}$ and $N^*(e) = |\Phi_{\lambda^*(e)}^+|$, the number of positive roots in $\Phi_{\lambda^*(e)}$.

Proof. To see this is true, we use Theorem 3.1. First, $|G| = |U||T| \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)}$ by (i) of Lemma 3.1. Then, consider parabolic subgroups $P(e) = BW(e)B$ of G . It follows from (ii) of Lemma 3.1. that $|P(e)| = |U||T| \sum_{w \in W(e)} q^{l(w)}$, where $T \subseteq B$ is the maximal torus of G , and U the unipotent radical of B . So

$$[G : P(e)] = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)}}{\sum_{w \in W(e)} q^{l(w)}}. \quad (1)$$

Let $U(e)$ be the unipotent group of $P(e)$. Then $U(e) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_{\lambda(e)}} U_\alpha$ by a result on page 119 of [1], and hence $|U(e)| = q^{N-N(e)}$ where N and $N(e)$ are the numbers of positive roots in Φ and $\Phi_{\lambda(e)}$, respectively. By the Levi decomposition of $P(e) = L(e)U(e)$, we see that

$$|L(e)| = q^{N(e)} |T| \sum_{w \in W(e)} q^{l(w)}.$$

From Theorem 2.2. and Lemma 3.1., $|K(e)| = |G_{\lambda_*(e)}| = q^{N_*(e)} |T(e)| \sum_{w \in W_*(e)} q^{l(w)}$, where $N_*(e) = |\Phi_{\lambda_*(e)}^+|$. We then obtain

$$[L(e) : K(e)] = [T : T(e)] q^{N(e)-N_*(e)} \sum_{w \in W(e)} q^{l(w)} / \sum_{w \in W_*(e)} q^{l(w)}. \quad (2)$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} [G : P(e)]^2 [L(e) : K(e)] &= [T : T(e)] q^{N(e)-N_*(e)} \frac{(\sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)})^2}{\sum_{w \in W(e)} q^{l(w)} \sum_{w \in W_*(e)} q^{l(w)}}, \\ &= [T : T(e)] q^{N^*(e)} \sum_{w \in D(e)} q^{l(w)} \sum_{w \in D_*(e)} q^{l(w)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $N^*(e) = N(e) - N_*(e)$ is the number of positive roots in $\Phi_{\lambda^*(e)}$, and $D(e)$ and $D_*(e)$ are the sets of minimal length left coset representatives of $W(e)$ and $W_*(e)$ in W , respectively (more information on $D(e)$ and $D_*(e)$ can be found in [12, 16]). Using Theorem 3.1., we complete the proof of Theorem 3.3. $\square$

Although this is a useful theoretical formula for the order of M , it is not easy to use in practice because

$$\sum_{w \in D(e)} q^{l(w)} \sum_{w \in D_*(e)} q^{l(w)}$$

is very cumbersome. We will give a simplified version of the formula in Theorem 3.4. below.

For any $e \in \Lambda$, suppose that $\lambda_*(e)$ has s connected components: $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_s$, and that $\lambda^*(e)$ has $t - s$ connected components: $J_{s+1}, \dots, J_t$ with $|J_k| = m_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, t$. The degrees $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{m_k}$ of the basic polynomial invariants of W_{J_k} depend on the type of J_k (one of the $A_l, B_l, C_l, D_l, E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2$). To emphasize this dependence and to agree to the notation in Chapter 9 of [1], for $k = 1, \dots, t$, let

$$P_{W_{J_k}}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{m_k} \left(\frac{q^{d_i} - 1}{q - 1} \right).$$

Clearly, $P_W(q) = \prod_{i=1}^l \left(\frac{q^{d_i} - 1}{q - 1} \right)$. We can now prove the following more practical formula.

Theorem 3.4. *The order of a finite reductive monoid M with 0 is*

$$|M| = \sum_{e \in \Lambda} \frac{[T : T(e)] q^{N^*(e)} P_W^2(q)}{\prod_{k=1}^s P_{W_{J_k}}^2(q) \prod_{k=s+1}^t P_{W_{J_k}}(q)}.$$

Proof. A theorem of Solomon, Theorem 9.4.9 of [1], tells us that $\sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)} = P_W(q)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in W_*(e)} q^{l(w)} &= P_{W_*(e)}(q) = \prod_{k=1}^s P_{W_{J_k}}(q), \text{ and} \\ \sum_{w \in W(e)} q^{l(w)} &= P_{W(e)}(q) = \prod_{k=1}^t P_{W_{J_k}}(q) = \prod_{k=1}^s P_{W_{J_k}}(q) \prod_{k=s+1}^t P_{W_{J_k}}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4. follows from these identities and Theorem 3.3. □

4. Applications

In this section we show applications of our results by finding an explicit formula for the orders of F_q -split finite $\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible monoids, and then illustrate the formula by giving a precise description of the orders of symplectic finite reductive monoids. We then describe the connections between these orders and the H-polynomials as well as Betti numbers of the projective varieties of symplectic monoids.

4.1. Orders of F_q -split Finite $\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible Monoids

Let G_0 be a simple algebraic group of type X_l , where $X_l = A_l, B_l, C_l, D_l, E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4$, or G_2 , and $\rho : G_0 \rightarrow GL(V)$ be an irreducible representation associated with any dominant weight. Then $\mathbf{M} = \overline{K^* \rho(G_0)}$ is a $\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible monoid. Suppose that σ is the standard Frobenius map of $\mathbf{M}$. Then $M = \{x \in \mathbf{M} \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$ is a finite reductive

monoid with 0, and it is called a finite $\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible monoid. Let T be a maximal torus of the unit group G of M , and let Λ be the cross section lattice of M . Recall that $T(e) = \{t \in T \mid te = e = et\}$, for $e \in \Lambda$. In this section we assume that $\mathbf{M}$ is F_q -split.

Lemma 4.1. *For $e \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$[T : T(e)] = (q - 1)^{|\lambda^*(e)|+1}.$$

Proof. Note that $|T(e)| = (q - 1)^{\dim T - \dim eT}$, since $\mathbf{M}$ is F_q -split. By Theorem 4.16 of [13], $\dim eT = |\lambda^*(e)| + 1$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M = \{x \in \mathbf{M} \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$ be a finite reductive monoid as above. Then*

$$|M| = \sum_{e \in \Lambda} \frac{q^{N^*(e)}(q - 1)^{2(|\lambda(e)|-l)+1} \prod_{i=1}^l (q^{d_i} - 1)^2}{\prod_{k=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^{m_k} (q^{d_i} - 1)^2 \prod_{k=s+1}^t \prod_{j=1}^{m_k} (q^{d_j} - 1)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1. we obtain $[T : T(e)] = (q - 1)^{|\lambda^*(e)|+1}$, for $e \in \Lambda \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Note also that, $P_W^2(q) = (q - 1)^{-2l} \prod_{i=1}^l (q^{d_i} - 1)^2$ and

$$\prod_{k=1}^s P_{W_{J_k}}^2(q) \prod_{k=s+1}^t P_{W_{J_k}}(q) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^{m_k} (q^{d_i} - 1)^2 \prod_{k=s+1}^t \prod_{j=1}^{m_k} (q^{d_j} - 1)}{(q - 1)^{|\lambda(e)|+|\lambda_*(e)|}}.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.4. that this theorem holds. $\square$

The above theorem tells us that the degrees $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l)$ of the basic polynomial invariants of W are critical in calculating the orders of finite reductive monoids. They are described in standard books, for example, Proposition 10.2.5 of [1]. For convenience, we list them.

Proposition 4.1. *The degrees $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l)$ of the basic polynomial invariants of W are given by*

- $A_l : (2, 3, \dots, l, l + 1)$, for $l \geq 1$.
- $B_l : (2, 4, \dots, 2(l - 1), 2l)$, for $l \geq 2$.
- $C_l : (2, 4, \dots, 2(l - 1), 2l)$, for $l \geq 3$.
- $D_l : (2, 4, \dots, 2(l - 1), l)$, for $l \geq 4$.
- $E_6 : (2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12)$.
- $E_7 : (2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18)$.

$$E_8 : (2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30).$$

$$F_4 : (2, 6, 8, 12).$$

$$G_2 : (2, 6).$$

For $r = 1, \dots, n$ let

$$[n, r, q] = \frac{(q^n - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}{[(q^r - 1) \cdots (q - 1)][(q^{n-r} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)]}.$$

By convention, if $r = 0$, then let $[n, r, q] = 1$. For the monoid $M_n(F_q)$ of type A_l with $n = l + 1$, apply Theorem 4.1. to $M_n(F_q)$. We have

$$|M_n(F_q)| - 1 = \sum_{r=1}^n q^{r(r-1)/2} [n, r, q]^2 \prod_{i=1}^r (q^i - 1).$$

Furthermore, let M^r be the set of matrices in $M_n(F_q)$ of rank r , for $r = 0, \dots, n$. Then

$$|M^r| = q^{r(r-1)/2} [n, r, q]^2 \prod_{i=1}^r (q^i - 1).$$

These formulas are recorded in Solomon [24] and Renner [19]. In the next section, we calculate the orders of finite symplectic monoids.

4.2. Orders of Finite Symplectic Monoids

Let $n = 2l$ be an even positive integer, and Sp_n be the symplectic group over the algebraic closure of F_q (see Humphreys [3]). The Dynkin diagram of Sp_n is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 2 & & & l-1 & l \\ \circ & \text{---} \circ & \cdots \cdots & \text{---} \circ & \text{---} \circ & \text{---} \circ \end{array}$$

Let ρ be the irreducible representation associated with the last fundamental dominant weight of type C_l . Then $\mathbf{MSp}_n = \overline{K^* \rho(Sp_n)}$ is a semisimple monoid, and is called a symplectic monoid. More details about symplectic monoids can be found in [4, 5]. Let MSp_n be the monoid consisting of fixed points of $\mathbf{MSp}_n$ under the Frobenius map of $\mathbf{MSp}_n$ to itself. The MSp_n is referred to as a finite symplectic monoid.

Theorem 4.2. *Let MSp_n be a finite symplectic monoid. Then*

$$|MSp_n| - 1 = (q - 1) \sum_{r=0}^l q^{r^2} [l, r, q^2]^2 \prod_{i=1}^r (q^{2i} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{l-r} (q^i + 1)^2.$$

Proof. It follows from Section 6 of [13] that

$$\lambda^*(\Lambda \setminus \{0\}) = \{\phi, \{\alpha_l\}, \{\alpha_{l-1}, \alpha_l\}, \dots, \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}\}.$$

If $\lambda^*(e) = \emptyset$, then $\lambda(e) = \lambda_*(e) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}\}$ is of type A_{l-1} , and has only one connected component with $|\lambda(e)| = l - 1$. Thus, $y(e) = (q - 1)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^l (q^{2i} - 1)^2$ and $z(e) = \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} (q^{i+1} - 1)^2$. Hence

$$\frac{y(e)}{z(e)} = \frac{(q - 1) \prod_{i=1}^l (q^{2i} - 1)^2}{\prod_{i=1}^l (q^i - 1)^2} = (q - 1) \prod_{i=1}^l (q^i + 1)^2.$$

If $\lambda^*(e) = \{\alpha_{l-r+1}, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ with $1 \leq r \leq l$, then

$$\lambda_*(e) = \begin{cases} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-r-1}\} & \text{if } r = 1, \dots, l - 2; \\ \emptyset & \text{if } r = l - 1 \text{ or } r = l. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\lambda^*(e)$ is of type B_r and $\lambda_*(e)$ is of type A_{l-r-1} , where we agree that $A_{-1} = A_0 \cong 1$. It is clear that $|\lambda(e)| = l - 1$. Thus, $y(e) = q^{r^2} (q - 1)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^l (q^{2i} - 1)^2$ and $z(e) = \prod_{i=1}^{l-r-1} (q^{i+1} - 1)^2 \prod_{i=1}^r (q^{2i} - 1)$, where $\prod_{i=1}^m (q^{i+1} - 1)^2 = 1$ if $m \leq 0$. So

$$\frac{y(e)}{z(e)} = \frac{(q - 1) q^{r^2} \prod_{i=1}^l (q^{2i} - 1)^2}{\prod_{i=1}^{l-r} (q^i - 1)^2 \prod_{i=1}^r (q^{2i} - 1)}.$$

The formula follows from Theorem 4.1. □

All maximal chains in the cross section lattice Λ of MSp_n with $n = 2l$ have the same length. This yields a rank function on MSp_n via the decomposition of

$$MSp_n = \bigsqcup_{x \in \Lambda} GxG$$

where G is the unit group of MSp_n . Note that this definition of rank is in general different from that of the rank of matrices. Denote by M^r the set of elements in MSp_n of rank $r = 0, 1, \dots, l + 1$. Clearly, $|M^0| = 1$. The proof of Theorem 4.2. indicates that

$$|M^r| = (q - 1) q^{(r-1)^2} [l, r - 1, q^2]^2 \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (q^{2i} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{l-r+1} (q^i + 1)^2.$$

4.3. H-polynomials of Symplectic Monoids

Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a Borel subgroup of the unit group of a symplectic monoid $\mathbf{MSp}_n$. Let $\mathbf{T} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ denote a maximal torus, and R denote the Renner monoid of $\mathbf{M}$. It follows from Definition 2.3 of Renner [23] that the H -polynomial of $\mathbf{MSp}_n$ is given by

$$H(t) = \sum_{R \setminus \{0\}} (t-1)^{r(x)-1} t^{l(x)-r(x)},$$

where $r(x) = \dim(\mathbf{T}x)$ and $l(x) = \dim(\mathbf{B}x\mathbf{B})$. Renner [23] gave a systematic description of H -polynomials of semisimple monoids.

Proposition 4.2. *The H -polynomial of a symplectic monoid $\mathbf{MSp}_n$ is given by*

$$H(q) = \sum_{r=0}^l q^{r^2} [l, r, q^2]^2 \prod_{i=1}^r (q^{2i} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{l-r} (q^i + 1)^2.$$

Proof. By both Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.1 of Renner [19] we have

$$|MSp_n| - 1 = (q-1)H(q).$$

The result of this proposition follows from Theorem 4.2. $\square$

Remark Can and Renner [2] obtained the H -polynomial of the symplectic rook monoid in a different way.

If $l = 2$, by Proposition 4.2. we have

$$H(q) = 1 + q + q^2 + 2q^3 + 2q^4 + 2q^5 + 2q^6 + 2q^7 + q^8 + q^9 + q^{10}.$$

Setting $k = 0$ and $N = 4$ in the notation of Example 5.2 of [21] and Example 6.2 of [23], we see that the above $H(q)$ and the H -polynomial of type C_2 in Renner [21, 23] are the same.

If $l = 3$ in Proposition 4.2., then an elementary calculation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} H(q) = & 1 + q + q^2 + 2q^3 + 2q^4 + 3q^5 + 4q^6 + 4q^7 + 4q^8 + 5q^9 + 5q^{10} + 5q^{11} \\ & + 5q^{12} + 4q^{13} + 4q^{14} + 4q^{15} + 3q^{16} + 2q^{17} + 2q^{18} + q^{19} + q^{20} + q^{21}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the coefficients of $H(q)$ are Betti numbers of some topological spaces. This is not an accident. A general result is given below.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a symplectic monoid over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. Denote by $P(\mathbf{M}) = (\mathbf{M} \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*$ the symplectic projective space, where $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the sequence of coefficients of the following polynomial*

$$H(q) = \sum_{r=0}^l q^{r^2} [n, r, q^2]^2 \prod_{i=1}^r (q^{2i} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{l-r} (q^i + 1)^2$$

consists of Betti numbers of $P(\mathbf{M})$, and hence palindromic.

Proof. Note that the polynomial $H(q)$ of $\mathbf{M}$ depends only on the Renner monoid of $\mathbf{M}$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{M}$ and the finite symplectic monoid MSp_n have the same Renner monoid. Applying the result of Section 3 of Renner [21] and the above Proposition 4.2., we see that this corollary is true.

Open Question: How to determine whether a $\mathcal{J}$ -irreducible monoids $\mathbf{M} = \overline{K^*\rho(G_0)}$ is F_q -split, where G_0 is a simple algebraic group over the algebraic closure of F_q and $\rho : G_0 \rightarrow GL(V)$ is an irreducible representation associated with any dominant weight?

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Lex Renner for many useful suggestions, especially, for pointing out Theorem 2.1 and the sketch of its proof. We also thank Mohan Putcha and Reginald Koo for many helpful discussions.

References

- [1] R. Carter, Simple groups of Lie type, John Wiley and Sons, 1972.
- [2] M. Can and L. Renner, H-polynomials and rook polynomials, Int. J. Algebra Comput. 18(5) (2008) 935 - 949.
- [3] J. Humphreys, Linear algebraic groups, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [4] Z. Li, The Renner monoids and cell decompositions of the classical algebraic monoids, Dissertation at University of Western Ontario, 2001.
- [5] Z. Li and L. Renner, The Renner monoids and cell decompositions of the symplectic algebraic monoids, Int. J. Algebra Comput. 13(2) (2003) 111-132.
- [6] Zhuo Li and M. Putcha, Types of reductive monoids, J. Algebra 221 (1999), 102-116.
- [7] Zhuo Li and L. Renner, The lattice of J -classes of $(\mathcal{J}, \sigma)$ -irreducible monoids, J. Algebra 190 (1997), 172-194.
- [8] M. Putcha, Linear algebraic monoids, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 133, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.
- [9] M. Putcha, Monoids on groups with BN-pairs, J. of Algebra 120 (1989), 136-169.
- [10] M. Putcha, Classification of monoids of Lie type, J. of Algebra 163 (1994), 636-662.
- [11] M. Putcha, Monoids of Lie type, Semigroups, Formal Languages and Groups, J. Fountain, Ed., Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995, 353-367.

- [12] M. Putcha, Bruhat-Chevalley order in algebraic monoids, *J. of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 20 (2004), 33-53.
- [13] M. Putcha and L. Renner, The system of idempotents and lattice of J -classes of reductive algebraic monoids, *J. Algebra* 226 (1988), 385-399.
- [14] M. Putcha and L. Renner, The canonical compactification of a finite group of Lie type, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 337 (1993), 305-319.
- [15] M. Putcha and L. Renner, Morphisms and duality of monoids of Lie type, *J. Algebra* 184 (1996), 1025-1040.
- [16] L. Renner, Linear algebraic monoids, Series: Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Vol 134, 2005.
- [17] L. Renner, Analogue of the Bruhat decomposition for algebraic monoids, *J. of Algebra* 101 (1986), 303-338.
- [18] L. Renner, Finite monoids of Lie type, *Monoids and Semigroups with Applications*, J. Rhodes, Ed., World Scientific, 1991, 278-287.
- [19] L. Renner, Finite reductive monoids, *Semigroups, Formal Languages and Groups*, J. Fountain, Ed., Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995, 369-380.
- [20] L. Renner, Modular representations of finite monoids of Lie type, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 138 (1999), 279-296.
- [21] L. Renner, Rationally Smooth Algebraic Monoids, *Semigroup Forum*, to appear.
- [22] L. Renner, Weyl Groups, Descent Systems and Betti Numbers, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, to appear.
- [23] L. Renner, The H-polynomial of a semisimple monoid, *J. of Algebra* 319 (2008), 360-376.
- [24] L. Solomon, The Bruhat decomposition, Tits system and Iwahori ring for the monoid of matrices over a finite field. *Geom. Dedicata* 36 (1990) 15-49.
- [25] L. Solomon, An introduction to reductive monoids, *Semigroups, Formal Languages and Groups*, J. Fountain, Ed., Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995, 295-352.
- [26] R. Yan, On orders of monoids of Lie type, *Semigroups* (Shum Kar Ping et al, Ed.), *Papers from the International Conference on Semigroups and Its Related Topics*, Kunming, China, August 18-23, 1995, Springer, Singapore, 1998 313-327.

Zhuo Li

Department of Mathematics

Xiangtan University

Xiangtan, Hunan 411105, P. R. China

Email: zli@mail.xtu.edu.cn

Zhenheng Li

Department of Mathematical Sciences

University of South Carolina Aiken

Aiken, SC 29801, USA

Email: zhenhengl@usca.edu

You'an Cao

Department of Mathematics

Xiangtan University

Xiangtan, Hunan 411105, P. R. China

Email: cya@mail.xtu.edu.cn